|  | **Maximum** |
| --- | --- |
| **Organisation and methodology** |  |
| Rationale | 10 |
| Strategy | 35 |
| Back-up function | 10 |
| Timetable of activities, including the number of expert days proposed | 5 |
|  |  |
| **Total score for organisation and methodology** | **60** |
|  |  |
| **Key experts** |  |
|  |  |
| **Translator** |  |
| Qualifications and skills | 15 |
| General professional experience | 15 |
| Specific professional experience | 10 |
|  |  |
| **Total score for key experts** | **40** |
|  |  |
| **Overall total score** | **100** |

| **Strengths** |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Weaknesses** |  |

NB: Only tenders with average scores of at least 75 points qualify for the financial evaluation.

How to use this evaluation grid:

* The categories to be used to assess the organisation and methodology (i.e. rationale, strategy, back-up function, involvement of the consortium members and timetable of activities including the number of expert days proposed) and each of the key experts (i.e. qualifications and skills, general professional experience and specific professional experience) may be modified as required and the division of scores must be adapted according to the requirements of the specific tender procedure.
* The number of key experts must correspond to the number of key expert profiles identified in the terms of reference and must not exceed 4 key experts. The total scores of the key experts shall be comprised between 40% and 60%.
* The overall total score should remain 100.
* The strengths and weaknesses in this evaluation grid must reflect those commonly agreed by the committee amongst all those pointed out by the evaluators in their individual grids.
* The evaluation committee must evaluate tenders on the basis of this evaluation grid, which includes maximum scores. Those maximum scores cannot be modified after the deadline for informing potential tenderers of any clarifications.
* **Please delete the highlighted text.**